

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 \* \* \*

4 BRUCE COUTURIER and ELEANOR  
5 COUTURIER,

6 Plaintiffs,

7 v.

8 AMERICAN INVSCO, *et al.*,

9 Defendants.

Case No. 2:12-cv-01104-APG-NJK

Consolidated (for discovery and trial) with  
Case Nos.:

2:12-cv-01106-APG-NJK

2:12-cv-01107-APG-NJK

2:12-cv-01108-APG-NJK

2:12-cv-01111-APG-NJK

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT  
REBEKAH DESMET'S MOTIONS  
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

11 Defendant Rebekah Desmet moves for summary judgment, arguing that as an employee of  
12 American Invsco Corp. and an agent of Koval Flamingo, LLC, she is entitled to judgment as a  
13 matter of law for the same reasons those two defendants were granted judgment. Specifically,  
14 Desmet contends she is entitled to the benefit of a release of claims signed by the plaintiffs.<sup>1</sup> In  
15 response, the plaintiffs do not dispute that Desmet is an American Invsco employee and a Koval  
16 agent within the releases' scope. Instead, they argue the releases are unenforceable.

17 I have already rejected the plaintiffs' arguments about the releases being unenforceable.  
18 ECF No. 222. I decline to reconsider that decision. I granted judgment in favor of defendants  
19 American Invsco and Koval based on the releases. *Id.* The plaintiffs released Koval, American  
20 Invsco, and their "respective affiliates, shareholders, members, directors, officers, employees and  
21 agents . . . ." *See, e.g.*, 197-2 at 4. As it is undisputed Desmet was American Invsco's employee  
22  
23

---

24  
25 <sup>1</sup> Desmet also claims she has already been dismissed from cases 2:12-cv-01106-APG-NJK and  
26 2:12-cv-01111-APG-NJK based on the court's March 2014 order. I am uncertain on what basis Desmet  
27 believes she has been dismissed from those cases. The March 31, 2014 order denied the defendants'  
28 motions to dismiss the conversion claims against Desmet. *See* Case No. 2:12-cv-01106-APG-NJK, ECF  
No. 107 at 14-15. Nevertheless, because the plaintiffs do not respond to that argument, and because the  
same reasoning on the merits applies, I grant summary judgment in Desmet's favor in these cases as well.  
Desmet is not a defendant in 2:12-cv-01107-APG-NJK.

1 and American Invsco was Koval's agent, the plaintiffs also released their conversion claims  
2 against Desmet. I therefore grant Desmet's summary judgment motions.

3 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant Rebekah Desmet's motions for summary  
4 judgment (**ECF No. 252 in 2:12-cv-01104-APG-NJK and ECF No. 205 in 2:12-cv-01108-**  
5 **APG-NJK) are GRANTED.** Defendant Rebekah Desmet is entitled to judgment as a matter of  
6 law on the plaintiffs' conversion claims against her in each of the following cases:

7 2:12-cv-01104-APG-NJK,  
8 2:12-cv-1106-APG-NJK,  
9 2:12-cv-01108-APG-NJK, and  
10 2:12-cv-01111-APG-NJK.

11 DATED this 29th day of November, 2017.

12   
13 \_\_\_\_\_  
14 ANDREW P. GORDON  
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28